Current-generation Global Climate Models inevitably underestimate pollutant transports to the Arctic

Paper : Sato, Y. et al. "Unrealistically pristine air in the Arctic produced by current global scale models." *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 26561; doi: 10.1038/srep26561 (2016)

<u>Yousuke Sato^{1*}, Hiroaki Miura², Hisashi Yashiro¹, Daisuke Goto³, Toshihiko Takemura⁴, Hirofumi Tomita¹, Teruyuki Nakajima⁵</u>

1 : RIKEN AICS, 2 : The University of Tokyo, 3 : National Institute for Environmental Study, 4 : RIAM/Kyushu University、 5 : JAXA/EORC



Topic of this talk:

- Black Carbon aerosol (BC) in Arctic simulated by global scale models
- Grid refinement study for BC by using a global scale model.

Unique and large impact of BC



A measurement site in Greenland



Cited from(http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/nova/next/earth/greenland-and-wildfires/)

BC in Arctic has large impact on climate through changing albedo of Arctic area

Radiative Forcing (RF) of BC:

- Opposite to other aerosols
- Magnitude is about 1/3 of CO₂
- Uncertainties is larger than that of green house gas and other aerosols

Source of BC in Arctic

Schematic illustration of BC transport to Arctic



(Quinn et al. 2012)

- There are only a few emission source of BC in Arctic (Law and Stohl, 2007)
- Most of BCs in arctic are transported from mid-latitude (Hirdman et al. 2010)
- Large contribution of low and frontal system to the BC transport to Arctic

(Barrie et al. 1986, Stohl 2006)

Global distribution of BC simulated by current generation GCMs



- There is large variability of BC distribution among the GCMs
- Most of GCMs underestimate BC in Arctic region

Previous studies

Reason of the underestimation suggested by previous studies

- Uncertainties in BC's microphysical process
 - Aging (e.g., Vignati et al, 2010, Wang et al. 2013, Matsui et al. 2013)
 - Wet deposition (e.g., Vignati et al. 2010, Garrett et al. 2011, Browse et al. 2012)
 - Dry deposition (Wang et al. 2013) etc.....
- Uncertainties of emission inventory (Wang et al. 2014, Cohen et al. 2014)
- Resolution of model (Ma et al. 2014, 2015, Allen et al. 2014, Liu et al. 2016)

Concentration of BC simulated by Regional model(WRF)



Ma et al. (2014)

Low resolution models cannot resolve detailed structure of the low and frontal system, and detailed structure has impacts on BC transport

But, the simulation with fine resolution is limited to one targeting area

Purpose

- To investigate effects of grid resolution on the BC in Arctic by using a "global scale cloud system resolving model"
- Estimate contribution of low and frontal systems to the BC in Arctic.

Model (NICAM-SPRINTARS)



Tomita and Satoh (2004), Satoh et al. (2008), Satoh et al. (2014)

Experimental setup

<u>Duration time</u> : 2 week (from Nov. 17 to Dec. 1 of 2011) [analyzed last 10 days] <u>Resolution</u> : [Horizontal] 3.5km, 14km, 56km, [Vertical] 38 layers <u>Microphysics</u> : 1-moment bulk (Tomita, 2008), (3.5km 14km), LSC+CP (Chikira, 2013)(56km) <u>Initial condition (dynamics)</u> : NCEP-NFL (Kalnay, 1996) <u>Initial condition (aerosols)</u> : Interpolated from the result of NICAM-SPRINTARS for two years with 56 km grid resolution <u>Emission inventory</u> : Anthropogenic : EDGAR-HTAP_V2.2 (Janssens-Maenhout et al., 2015) Biomass burning : GFEDv3 (Randerson et al. 2013)





Takemura et al. (2005)

Animation of vertically accumulated BC (last 10 days)



- Amount of BC transported to Arctic is increased with fining resolution
- Mid-latitude low and frontal system has large contribution to the BC transport

Structure of Low and frontal system





Contribution of lows and frontal systems

Resolution	Northward BC flux at 60 Nº(kg/s)	Contribution of lows and frontal systems(%)	
3.5km	35.5	58.17	
14km	27.66	57.63	
56km	8.15	55.95	

* Low and Frontal systems were extracted by the method of Miyamoto et al. (2014)

- Northward mass flux is increased with fining grid resolution
- Contribution of mid-latitude low and frontal system also increases with fining grid resolution

Regional variability of northward flux of BC



- Increase of the BC transportation occurs over whole layer
- The difference between 3.5km and 14km is much smaller than that between 14km and 56 km.

Model v.s. surface observation



<u>Observation data</u>: North America: IMPROVE, CABM, Asia: CAWNET, Europe: EUSAAR

• Underestimation of the model is reduced with fining grid resolution



Difference between model and Observation (Obs.)/(Model) [ng/m³]

Resolution	North America	Europe	China	Arctic	
3.5km	1.1200	1.3174	1.3236	1.0299	↑
14km	1.1531	1.2723	1.3136	1.2542	
56km	1.2486	1.3693	1.3401	6.1050	

Summary

- We investigated effects of horizontal grid resolution on BC transport to Arctic
- By fining grid resolution, the underestimation of BC was reduced.
- The reduction was derived from the improvement of the detailed structure of low and frontal system

Main message of this study is …

Global scale modeling with fine grid resolution is required for accurate representation of aerosol in Arctic and in Antarctic

Acknowledgement :

Computational resources : K computer at the RIKEN Advanced Institute for Computational Science (hp140046, hp150156) Fund: S-12 (Ministry of the Environment), SALSA/RECCA (MEXT), RIKEN special postdoctoral researcher program



Thank you!

Information of paper :

Sato, Y. et al. "Unrealistically pristine air in the Arctic produced by current global scale models." *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 26561; doi: 10.1038/srep26561 (2016)